Message Text

PAGE 01 WARSAW 04139 171242Z

53

ACTION EB-11

INFO OCT-01 EUR-25 IO-13 ADP-00 AF-10 ARA-16 EA-11 NEA-10

RSC-01 AGR-20 CEA-02 CIAE-00 COME-00 DODE-00 FRB-02

H-03 INR-10 INT-08 L-03 LAB-06 NSAE-00 NSC-10 PA-03

AID-20 CIEP-02 SS-15 STR-08 TAR-02 TRSE-00 USIA-15

PRS-01 OMB-01 OIC-04 RSR-01 /234 W

----- 112508

R 171136Z AUG 73

FM AMEMBASSY WARSAW

TO /SECSTATE WASHDC 5305

INFO AMEMBASSY VIENNA

USMISSION EC BRUSSELS

USMISSION GENEVA

USMISION OECD PARIS

AMEMBASSY BERN

LIMITED OFFICIAL USE WARSAW 4139

E.O. 11652: N/A

TAGS: ETRD, GATT, PL

SUBJECT: GATT: POLISH REQUEST TO WITHDRAW US COMMENT ON STATE

TRADING

PASS TO COMMERCE/BEWT

VIENNA FOR EWTC

1. DURING CALL BY EMBASSY OFFICERS AT MINISTRY OF FOREIGN TRADE ON AUGUST 15 FOR DISCUSSION OF PREPARATIONS FOR GATT MINISTERIAL MEETING AT TOKYO (REPORTED SEPTEL), STANISLAW STRUS, DIRECTOR OF TRADE POLICY DEPARTMENT III (WESTERN DEVELOPED COUNTRIES), USED OCCASION TO REQUEST THAT THE US WITHDRAW NOTIFICATION MADE TO GATT IN 1968 REGARDING STATE TRADING PRACTICES OF POLAND. STRUS SAID AMBASSADOR LIMITED OFFICIAL USE

PAGE 02 WARSAW 04139 171242Z

TRAMPCZYNSKI HAD BEEN INSTRUCTED TO MAKE PARALLEL APPROACH IN WASHINGTON.

2. STRUS NOTED THAT IN 1968, THE US HAD SUBMITTED

NOTIFICATION TO GATT REGARDING POLAND WHICH SUBSEQUENTLY WAS INCLUDED IN A GENERAL INVENTORY OF NTB'S CONTAINED IN DOCUMENT GATT COM. IND/4, PAGE 175. (EMBASSY ODES NOT HAVE THIS DOCUMENT OR RELATED REPORTING AS FILES PRIOR TO 1970 HAVE BEEN RETIRED.) ACCORDING TO STRUS, SECTION IN QUESTION, WHICH FORMED THE US NOTIFICATION, IS AS FOLLOWS:

QUOTE. STATE TRADING. BILATERAL BALANCING: UNDER BILATERAL AGREEMENTS POLAND CHANNELS ITS PRUCHASES TO A CERTAIN DEGREE ON THE BASIS OF COUNTRY OF ORGIN RATHER THAN ON THE BASIS OF PRICE, QUALITY, AND TERMS. MARKETING PRACTICES: FOREIGN BUSINESSMEN AND FIRMS ARE RESTRICTED IN GAINING ACCESS TO POTENTIAL BUYERS. END QUOTE.

3. POLAND, STRUS SAID, NOW WISHED TO REQUEST THAT THE US WITHDRAW THIS STATEMENT. GOP HAD NOT AGREED AT TIME STATEMENT WAS MADE THAT IT REFLECTED REAL SITUATION PRE-VAILING IN POLAND. FORTHERMORE, HE ASSERTED, CONSIDERABLE CHANGES HAD TAKEN PLACE SINCE THAT TIME. AS RESULT OF NEGOTIATIONS DURING THE INTERVEINING PERIOD, POLAND NO LONGER HAD BILATERAL CLEARING AGREEMENTS WITH WESTERN COUNTRIES, WITH SOLE EXCEPTION OF GREECE. GOP WAS URGING GREECE TO DROP CLEARING AGREEMENT, THUS FAR TO NO AVAIL. STRUS SAID THAT LAST AGREEMENTS TO HAVE BEEN MULTILATERALIZED WERE WITH AUSTRIA, FINLAND, PORTUGAL AND SWITZERLAND, AND THAT INTIATIVE HAD COME FROM POLISH SIDE. FINNS, FOR EXAMPLE, WERE SAID TO HAVE BEEN VERY RELUCTANT TO END CLEARING ARRANGEMENTS FOR FEAR IT WOULD BE TO THEIR DISADVANTAGE. THUS, STRUS SAID, POLAND NOW WAS NOT BOUND TO BALANCE ITS TRADE WITH WESTERN COUNTRIES. IT WAS TRUE, OF COURSE, THAT THEY WERE SUBJECTED TO THE URGING OF CERTAIN COUNTRIES WITH WHICH POLAND HAD RECURRING TRADE SURPLUSES. (HE MENTIONED ITALY AS AN EXAMPLE, BUT POINTEDLY CHOSE NOT TO MENTION THE US AS WELL.) SUCH COUNTRIES OFTEN URGED POLAND TO BE RECEPTIBE TO SALES MISSIONS AND TO IMPORT MORE FROM LIMITED OFFICIAL USE LIMITED OFFICIAL USE

PAGE 03 WARSAW 04139 171242Z

THEM. IN GENERAL SENSE, STRUS SAID, POLAND DID WHAT IT COULD TO BE RESPONSIVE TO SUCH REQUESTS, BUT HE ASSERTED THE UNTIMATE PURCHASING DECISIONS WERE MADE STRICTLY ON COMMERCIAL GROUNDS.

4. WITH RESPOECT TO SECOND PART OF THE 1968 US NOTIFICATION, STRUS SAID THAT, PARTICULARLY IN LIGHT OF EXPERIENCE OF US COMPANIES AND 1972 US-POLISH AGREEMENT ON IMPROVING BUSINESS FACILITIES, TOGETHER WITH RECENT PROGRESS ACHEIVED BY US FIRMS IN OPENING LOCAL OFFICES IN POLAND, HE THOUGHT THE US WOULD HAVE TO AGREE THAT ACCESS TO POTENTIAL POLISH BUYERS WAS NO LONGER A PROBLEM.

5. IN RESPONSE, EMBASSY OFFICER SAID HE OF COURSE COULD NOT RESPOND AUTHORITATIVELY, BUT THAT EMBASSY WOULD REPORT THE POLISH REQUEST TO WASHINGTON. AGREEING THAT SIGNIFICANT ACHIEVEME TS HAD BEEN MADE RECENTLY WITH REGARD TO REPRESENTATION OF US FIRMS IN THE POLISH MARKET, EMBASSY OFFICER EXPRESSED PERSONAL VIEW THAT ACCESS TO POTENTIAL CUSTOMERS NOW WAS RELATIVELY GOOD. WITH RESPECT TO QUESTION OF CHANNELING OF PURCHASES, HE NOTED ASSERTION BY STRUS THAT POLAND HAD ELIMINATED ITS BILATERAL CLEARING AGREEMENTS, BUT SAID HE STILL HAD IMPRESSION THAT FACTORS OTHER THAN COMMERCIAN CONSIDERATIONS SOMETIMES ENTERED INTO POLISH PURCHASING DECISIONS.

6. COMMENT: ALTHOUGH IT IS SOMETIMES DIFFICULT TO IDENTIFY POTENTIAL CUSTOMERS IN POLAND DUE TO LACK OF PUBLISHED INDUSTRIAL DIRECTORIES AND THE LIKE, EMBASSY BELIEVEDS, BASED ON CURRENT EXPERIENCE, THAT IT NO LONGER IS JUSTIFABLE TO STATE THAT BUSINESSMENT ARE RESTRICTED IN GAINING ACCESS TO POTENTIAL BUYERS. WHILE FROM TIME TO TIME CASES DO ARISE WITH RESPECT TO VISITS TO CERTAIN MANUFACTURING FACILITYES. THESE APPARENTLY ARE RELATED TO POLISH SECURITY CONSIDERATION, AND THUS DO NOT DIFFER SUBSTANTIALLY FROM CASES IN WESTERN COUNTRIES. EMBASSY HAS RECEIVED NO RECENT COMPLAINTS FROM US BUSINESS REGARDING ACCESS PROBLEMS. QUESTION OF BILATERAL BALANCING IS LESS CLEAR-CUT. (SWISS EMBASSY HERE TELLS US THAT, FOLLOWING NEGOTIATIONS SPANNING TWO YEARS, AGREEMENT LIMITED OFFICIAL USE LIMITED OFFICIAL USE

PAGE 04 WARSAW 04139 171242Z

RECENTLY WAS REACHED WITH POLES ON NEW TRADE AGREEMENT WHICH, AFTER EXPECTED SWISS RATIFICATION THIS AUTUMN, WILL REPLACE CLEARING AGREEMEMR WHICH HAS BEEN OPERATIVE SINCE LATE 1940'S. POLES ARE SAID TO BE DELIGHTED WITH NEW AGREEMENT BECAUSE IT GRANTS THEM FULL MFN TREAT-MENT. REPORTEDLY, REASON FOR LENGTHY NEGOTIATIONS WAS THAT SWISS UNTIL RECENTLY HAD INSISTED ON CERTAIN IMPORT ASSURANCES FROM POLISH SIDE WHICH WERE DESIGNED TO IMPROVE SWISS AGRICULTURAL TRADE BALANCE SOMEWHAT). US 1968 STATEMENT ON BILATERAL BALANCING IS NOT LONGER ACCURATE AS GENERAL DESCRIPTION OF POLISH TRADE POLICY IN VIEW OF REPORTED END OF BILATERAL CLEARING AGREEMENTS. HOWEVER, AS INDICATED IN PARA 5 ABOVE, WE BELIEVE FACTORS OTHER THAN COMMERCIAL AND TECHNICAL TERMS SOMETIMES DO COME INTO POLAY, ESPECIALLY REGARDING MAJOR IMPORT PROJECTS. FOR EXAMPLE, AT SIGNING CEREMONY FOR EPSTEIN COMPANIES' \$64 MILLION CONTRACT FOR CONSTRUCTION OF MEAT PLANTS (WARSAW 3032 NOTAL), POLES SEEMED TO GO OUT OF THEIR WAY TO STRESS THAT PURCHASING DECISION WAS MADE ON BSIS OF CAREFUL REVIEW OF MERITS OF EPSTEIN'S PROPOSAL

| AND ON BASIS OF CURRENT POLISH TRADE POLICY TOWARD THE | |
|--|--|
| US. | |
| DAVIES | |
| | |
| LIMITED OFFICIAL USE | |
| | |
| | |
| << END OF DOCUMENT >> | |
| | |

Message Attributes

Automatic Decaptioning: X Capture Date: 10 MAY 1999 Channel Indicators: n/a

Current Classification: UNCLASSIFIED

Concepts: MINISTERIAL MEETINGS, TRADE AGREEMENTS, TRADE CENTERS

Control Number: n/a Copy: SINGLE Draft Date: 17 AUG 1973 Decaption Date: 01 JAN 1960 Decaption Note: Disposition Action: RELEASED Disposition Action: RELEASED
Disposition Approved on Date:
Disposition Authority: golinofr
Disposition Case Number: n/a
Disposition Comment: 25 YEAR REVIEW
Disposition Date: 28 MAY 2004
Disposition Event:
Disposition History: n/a
Disposition Reason:
Disposition Remarks:
Document Number: 1973WARSAW04139

Document Number: 1973WARSAW04139 Document Source: ADS Document Unique ID: 00 Drafter: n/a

Enclosure: n/a Executive Order: N/A Errors: n/a Film Number: n/a From: WARSAW

Handling Restrictions: n/a

Image Path:

Legacy Key: link1973/newtext/t19730869/abqcelrb.tel Line Count: 168 Locator: TEXT ON-LINE Office: ACTION EB

Original Classification: LIMITED OFFICIAL USE

Original Handling Restrictions: n/a Original Previous Classification: n/a Original Previous Handling Restrictions: n/a

Page Count: 4

Previous Channel Indicators:
Previous Classification: LIMITED OFFICIAL USE

Previous Handling Restrictions: n/a Reference: n/a

Review Action: RELEASED, APPROVED Review Authority: golinofr Review Comment: n/a Review Content Flags: Review Date: 21 AUG 2001

Review Event:

Review Exemptions: n/a Review History: RELEASED <21-Aug-2001 by izenbei0>; APPROVED <30-Oct-2001 by golinofr>

Review Markings:

Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 30 JUN 2005

Review Media Identifier: Review Referrals: n/a Review Release Date: n/a Review Release Event: n/a **Review Transfer Date:** Review Withdrawn Fields: n/a

Secure: OPEN Status: NATIVE

Subject: GATT: POLISH REQUEST TO WITHDRAW US COMMENT ON STATE TRADING

TAGS: ETRD, PL, GATT To: STATE INFO VIENNA EC BRUSSELS

GENEVA OECD PARIS BERN

Markings: Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 30 JUN 2005

| Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 30 JUN 2005 |
|---|
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |